

The Standard Model at Low Energies

G. Ecker

Univ. Wien

Saalburg Summer School

Sept. 2000

Contents

Lecture I

Effective Field Theories

- Classification
- Decoupling
- Spontaneous symmetry breaking
- Nonlinear realization

Lecture II

Chiral Perturbation Theory

- Chiral symmetry
- Spontaneous and explicit chiral symmetry breaking
- Standard vs. generalized CHPT
- Effective chiral Lagrangians
- Low-energy expansion \rightarrow loop expansion
- Renormalization
- Chiral logs

Lecture III

Applications

- Baryons
- Electroweak interactions
- Effective chiral Lagrangian of the Standard Model
- Pion-pion scattering
- Isospin violation
- Pionic atoms

Lecture IV

Kaon Decays

- Semileptonic decays
- Nonleptonic decays
- $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$
- Isospin breaking
- Rare decays

Effective Field Theories

Field theoretic formulation of the

Quantum Ladder

classical physics

atoms, molecules, condensed matter

nuclear physics

particle physics

...

quantum gravity, strings

...

Remarks :

- relevant degrees of freedom depend on energy
- energy scales usually well separated

Example :

Hydrogen Atom

$m_e, \alpha \Rightarrow$ energy levels

Influence of **massive** states (up to logarithms) :

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2}m_e\alpha^2 \left\{ 1 + O\left[\left(\frac{m_e\alpha}{\Lambda}\right)^n\right] \right\}$$

hyperfine splitting : $\Lambda = m_p$

weak interactions : $\Lambda = M_W$

m_e, α : Low Energy Constants

N.B.: α determined at low energy

e.g., from $(g - 2)_e$, Josephson effect, ...

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\text{eff}}(q^2 \simeq 0)$$

Lesson :

we don't need to solve quantum gravity to understand the hydrogen atom

Steps of quantum ladder separated by

energy Λ

$$E < \Lambda$$

long distances

effective

$$E > \Lambda$$

short distances

QFT

“fundamental”

Remnants of underlying theory

i. **Symmetries**

examples : spin-statistics connection

(QFT \rightarrow QM)

gauge invariance

spontaneously broken **symmetries**

ii. **LECs**

incorporate short-distance vestiges

Determination of LECs

- direct **matching** between eff. and fund. theories (only feasible in weak-coupling regime)
- low-energy **phenomenology**
- additional **input** : lattice, models, ...

Applications

1. Parametrization of unknown short-distance structure (**Anti-Theory Of Everything**)

examples : low-energy effects of GUTs

Higgs sector of SM

2. “Fundamental” theory known, but either

not needed

or

not applicable

QED for $E_\gamma \ll m_e$

strong coupling (QCD)

weak interactions

system too complex

($E \ll M_W$)

(condensed matter)

Classification of EFTs

Criterion : transition from fundamental
to effective theory

A. Decoupling of heavy states

$E < \Lambda$: heavy fields “integrated” out
no additional light fields generated

EFT Lagrangian contains only the remaining
light fields (masses $\ll \Lambda$)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{n \leq 4} + \sum_{n > 4} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \sum_{i_n} g_{i_n} O_{i_n}$$

n : operator dimension (in energy units)

$$n_{\text{boson}} = 1, \quad n_{\text{fermion}} = 3/2, \quad n_{\partial} = 1$$

O_{i_n} : monomials in light fields with $\text{dim} = n$

g_{i_n} : dimensionless LECs , expect $\leq O(1)$

$\mathcal{L}_{n \leq 4}$: potentially renormalizable part

Example :

QED for $E \ll m_e$

electrons integrated out \rightarrow
 only photons left, $\Lambda = m_e$

symmetries :

Lorentz and gauge invariance, C, P

\rightarrow only even powers of $F_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = & -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_0}{m_e^2} F_{\mu\nu} \square F^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{c_1}{m_e^4} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{c_2}{m_e^4} (F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

weak coupling \rightarrow
 perturbative matching possible

to leading order in α :

Euler, Heisenberg

$$c_0 = \frac{\alpha}{60\pi}, \quad c_1 = \frac{\alpha^2}{90}, \quad c_2 = \frac{7\alpha^2}{360}$$

with electrons : Caswell, Lepage, ...

Example :

Standard Model

from experiment : $\Lambda > 100 \text{ GeV}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n \leq 4}$ sufficient at present

Remarks :

- “reason” for renormalizability : natural for EFTs of type A
- perturbative expansion competes with $\mathcal{L}_{n > 4}$ (asymptotic expansion vs. exact solution)

Decoupling of heavy states

Toy model :

light fields $\varphi_i(x)$, masses m_i

heavy field $H(x)$, mass M

$$\mathcal{L}(H, \varphi_i) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu H \partial^\mu H - \frac{1}{2} M^2 H^2 + \mathcal{L}(\varphi_i) + H P(\varphi_i)$$

introduce path integral representation of

generating functional $Z[j_i, J]$

of connected Green functions

$$\begin{aligned} \exp iZ[j_i, J] &= \langle 0 | T \exp i \int d^4x (j_i \varphi_i + JH) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int [d\varphi_i dH] \exp i \int d^4x (\mathcal{L}(H, \varphi_i) + j_i \varphi_i + JH) \end{aligned}$$

for $E \ll M$: H only as internal lines

→ set $J = 0$

$$e^{iZ[j_i,0]} = \int [d\varphi_i] e^{i \int d^4x j_i \varphi_i} \int [dH] e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(H, \varphi_i)}$$

Def.: effective action $S_{\text{eff}}[\varphi_i]$

$$e^{iS_{\text{eff}}[\varphi_i]} = \frac{\int [dH] e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(H, \varphi_i)}}{\int [dH] e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(H, 0)}}$$

containing only light fields φ_i

$$\rightarrow \boxed{e^{iZ[j_i,0]} = \int [d\varphi_i] e^{i(S_{\text{eff}}[\varphi_i] + \int d^4x j_i \varphi_i)}}$$

Calculation of $S_{\text{eff}}[\varphi_i]$

Gaussian integral \rightarrow exercise

Result :

nonlocal action

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\varphi_i] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\varphi_i) - \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y P(\varphi_i(x)) \Delta_F(x-y; M) P(\varphi_i(y))$$

Expansion in powers of M^{-1} (strictly valid only at tree level) :

effective local action

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\varphi_i] = \int d^4x \left\{ \underbrace{\mathcal{L}(\varphi_i) + \frac{1}{2M^2} P(\varphi_i)^2}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\varphi_i)} \right\} + O(M^{-4})$$

Operator dimension : $n_H = 1 \rightarrow n_{P(\varphi_i)} = 3$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n>4}$ starts with $n = 6$, $\Lambda = M$

Physically relevant example :

Fermi theory of weak interactions

φ_i quarks, leptons

H W, Z

N.B.: more complicated than toy model

B. Spontaneous symmetry breaking

phase transition \rightarrow additional light states :

(pseudo) Goldstone bosons

symmetry acts nonlinearly on GBs \Rightarrow

- separation between $\mathcal{L}_{n \leq 4}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n > 4}$ meaningless
- EFTs generically nonrenormalizable : perturbative treatment ?

Goldstone Theorem

- existence of GBs
- interactions of GBs vanish for $E_{GB} \rightarrow 0$ (independent of underlying interaction !)

\Rightarrow systematic low-energy expansion

Organization of \mathcal{L}_{eff} :

derivatives (\sim momenta) instead of operator dimension (as if $n_{GB} = 0$)

Problem for case B :

phase transition nonperturbative phenomenon

→ perturbative matching **impossible** in general

number of **LECs** explodes in higher orders

Examples

- **electroweak gauge symmetry**

$$SU(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(1)_{\text{em}}$$

$$\Lambda = G_F^{-1/2}, \text{GBs} \rightarrow W_{\text{long}}, Z_{\text{long}}$$

general case : **nonlinear** realization

SM : simplest (**linear**) realization

(\sim linear σ model)

- **chiral symmetry** of QCD : $\Lambda \simeq 1$ GeV

pseudo-**GBs** : pseudoscalar mesons

- **condensed matter** :

magnons, phonons, superconductivity

Spontaneous symmetry breaking

Realization of symmetries in field theory

invariance of the action

classical

EOM invariant

quantum

conserved charge Q

$$[H, Q] = 0$$

symmetric time evolution

Consequence for spectrum

energy eigenstates : $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$

$$|n_Q\rangle := Q|n\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad H|n_Q\rangle = E_n|n_Q\rangle$$

$|n\rangle, |n_Q\rangle$ degenerate

symmetry manifest in states

(rotational symmetry , isospin, ...)

Wigner-Weyl realization of symmetries

Many examples of different realization

- crystal : translation invariance, but only discrete subgroup manifest in states
ferromagnet : rotat. invariance not manifest
SM : γ, W, Z non-degenerate

Relativistic QFT

continuous (internal) symmetry

Noether current $J^\mu(x)$: $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$

conserved charge $Q = \int d^3x J^0(x)$

translation invariance : $[Q, P_\mu] = 0$

ground state : $P_\mu |0\rangle = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Q|0\rangle\|^2 &= \langle 0|QQ|0\rangle = \int d^3x \langle 0|QJ^0(x)|0\rangle \\
 &= \int d^3x \langle 0|Qe^{iPx} J^0(0)e^{-iPx}|0\rangle \\
 &= \int d^3x \underbrace{\langle 0|QJ^0(0)|0\rangle}_{\text{x-independent !}}
 \end{aligned}$$

→ only 2 possibilities

Goldstone alternative

$$Q|0\rangle = 0$$

Wigner–Weyl

linear representation

degenerate multiplets

exact symmetry

$$\|Q|0\rangle\| = \infty$$

Nambu–Goldstone

nonlinear realization

massless GBs

spont. broken symm.

More careful discussion of SSB :

Def.: $Q^V(x^0) = \int_V d^3x J^0(x)$ (finite volume V)

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} [H, Q^V] = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \|Q^V|0\rangle\| = \infty$$

Ass.: \exists operator A with

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\langle 0|[Q^V(x^0), A]|0\rangle}_{\text{order parameter of SSB}} \neq 0$$

order parameter of SSB

only possible for $Q|0\rangle \neq 0$

example : scalar fields $\varphi_i(x)$

$$[Q, \varphi_i] = c_{ij} \varphi_j \text{ with } \langle 0 | \varphi_j | 0 \rangle \neq 0$$

Exercise : Goldstone theorem \Rightarrow

\exists **massless** state $|GB\rangle$ with

$$\langle 0 | J^0(0) | GB \rangle \langle GB | A | 0 \rangle \neq 0$$

necessary and sufficient condition for **SSB** :

Goldstone matrix element $\langle 0 | J^0(0) | GB \rangle \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow |GB\rangle$ same quantum numbers as $J^0(0)|0\rangle$

Remarks :

- $|GB\rangle$ need not correspond to physical particle (gauge symm.)
- $J^0(0)$ (usually) rot. inv. bosonic operator
 $\Rightarrow |GB\rangle$ spin 0
- discrete **SSB** does not produce GBs
- **nonrelativ. systems (condensed matter)**:
 \exists excitations with
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \omega(k) = 0 \quad (k = 2\pi/\lambda)$
 in general : $N_{\text{broken symm.}} \geq N_{\text{GB}}$

Goldstone model

simplest explicit QFT example for **SSB**

complex scalar field $\phi(x)$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Goldstone}} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^\dagger - \lambda \left(\phi \phi^\dagger - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2$$

$$\lambda > 0, \quad v \text{ real, positive}$$

$$U(1) \text{ symmetry : } \quad \phi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \phi(x)$$

minimum of (Mexican hat) potential : $\phi \phi^\dagger = \frac{v^2}{2}$

\Rightarrow **SSB** with

$$\langle 0 | R(x) | 0 \rangle = v, \quad \langle 0 | G(x) | 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\phi(x) = (R(x) + iG(x)) / \sqrt{2}$$

$R(x), G(x)$ hermitian

Spectrum (tree level)

GB field $G(x)$: $M_G = 0$

scalar field $H(x) = R(x) - v$: $M_H = \sqrt{2\lambda}v$

Exercise : calculate scattering amplitudes
at tree level for

$$GG \rightarrow GG \text{ and } GH \rightarrow GH$$

and show that

$$A(GG \rightarrow GG) = O(p_G^4), \quad A(GH \rightarrow GH) = O(p_G^2)$$

p_G generic momentum of GBs , p_H arbitrary

Why do GBs decouple for $E_{GB} \rightarrow 0$?

different choice of fields (**polar decomposition**)

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[h(x) + v]e^{ig(x)/v}$$

inserting into $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Goldstone}}$ \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Goldstone}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu g)^2 + \frac{1}{2v^2}(h^2 + 2vh)(\partial_\mu g)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu h)^2 - \lambda v^2 h^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}(h^4 + 4vh^3) \end{aligned}$$

Haag–Borchers: fields G, H and g, h lead to
different **Green** functions (in general)
but identical S-matrix elements

Observations

- spectrum unchanged
 - GB field $g(x)$: $M_g = 0$
 - scalar field $h(x)$: $M_h = M_H = \sqrt{2\lambda}v$
- only derivative couplings for Goldstone field g

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{p_{GB} \rightarrow 0} A = 0$$

Reason

$$p_{GB} = 0 \leftrightarrow g(x) = \text{constant}$$

$U(1)$ invariance of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Goldstone}}$:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[h(x)+v]e^{ig(0)/v} \simeq \phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[h(x)+v]$$

\Rightarrow constant GB field decouples !

General property of GBs :

S-matrix elements vanish if all $p_{GB} \rightarrow 0$

Nonlinear realization

SSB for general nonabelian symmetry

Explicit example :

linear σ model

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\sigma &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma + \partial_\mu \vec{\pi} \partial^\mu \vec{\pi}) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 - v^2)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi^\top \partial_\mu \Phi - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^\top \Phi - v^2)^2 \\ \Phi^\top &= (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \sigma)\end{aligned}$$

- invariance group of \mathcal{L}_σ : $G = O(4)$
- degenerate **vacua** at $\Phi^\top \Phi = v^2$

possible choice of **ground state** :

$$\langle 0 | \Phi^\top(x) | 0 \rangle = (0, 0, 0, v)$$

invariance group of the **vacuum** : $H = O(3)$

Fluctuations (fields) **along** minimum of potential

\Rightarrow x -dependent $O(4)$ transformations

general form

$$\Phi(x) = \underbrace{e^{i\phi^a(x)} X_a e^{i\sigma^i(x)} H_i}_{L(\phi^a, \sigma^i)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_i \in \mathcal{H} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad X_a \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{H} \quad (a = 1, 2, 3)$$

coset space G/H

$$L(\phi^a, \sigma^i) \equiv L(\phi^a, 0) \in G/H$$

possible (standard) parametrization : $\sigma^i = 0$

$\phi^a(x)$: Goldstone fields

(zero curvature fluctuations)

How do $\phi^a(x)$ transform under G ?

G : general (compact) Lie group (dim = N_G)

GB fields : $\phi^\top = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$

most general transformation :

$$g \in G : \quad \phi \longrightarrow \phi' = f(g, \phi)$$

$$\text{vacuum} : \quad \phi = 0$$

left invariant by $h \in G$ with $f(h, 0) = 0$

group property :

$$f(g_2, f(g_1, \phi)) = f(g_2 g_1, \phi)$$

with $f(h_1, 0) = f(h_2, 0) = 0$

$$\longrightarrow f(h_2 h_1, 0) = f(h_2, f(h_1, 0)) = 0$$

\Rightarrow invariance group of the vacuum : $H \subset G$

$g_1 = h \in H$, $g_2 = g \notin H \rightarrow \phi = f(g, 0) \neq 0$

$$f(gh, 0) = f(g, \underbrace{f(h, 0)}_0) = f(g, 0)$$

\Rightarrow mapping $G/H \longrightarrow \phi = f(g, 0)$

claim : mapping is **one-to-one**

if $f(g_1, 0) = f(g_2, 0) \rightarrow g_1^{-1} g_2 =: h_{12} \in H$

$\rightarrow g_2 = g_1 h_{12} \rightarrow g_1 = g_2$ as elements of G/H

Conclusion

$n = \dim G/H = N_G - N_H$ Goldstone fields
 “parametrize” coset space

Furthermore :

$0 \xrightarrow{g} \phi = f(g, 0)$ inhom. transformation
 no G -invariant mass term possible
 \Rightarrow Goldstone fields are massless

Lie algebra of G

$$[H_i, H_j] = ic_{ijk}H_k$$

subgroup H

$$[H_i, X_a] = ic_{iab}X_b$$

X_a repr. of H

$$[X_a, X_b] = ic_{abi}H_i + ic_{abc}X_c$$

Remarks :

- G compact, H subgroup : $c_{iaj} = -c_{ija} = 0$
- $c_{abc} = 0$ in many cases
 (e.g., for chiral symmetry)

G transformation on coset space

standard choice : $L(\phi) = e^{i\phi^a X_a} \in G/H$

action of G

$$L(\phi) \xrightarrow{g \in G} gL(\phi) = L(\phi')h(g, \phi)$$

$h(g, \phi) \in H$: compensator (field)

for $g \in H$: $g = e^{i\varepsilon^i H_i}$

$$\begin{aligned} gL(\phi) &= \underbrace{e^{i\varepsilon^i H_i} e^{i\phi^a X_a} e^{-i\varepsilon^i H_i}}_{e^{i\phi'^a X_a}} e^{i\varepsilon^i H_i} \\ &= L(\phi')g \end{aligned}$$

→ trivial compensator $h(g) = g$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\varepsilon^i H_i} X_a e^{-i\varepsilon^i H_i} &= X_b R_X(\varepsilon)_{ba} \\ \phi'^b &= R_X(\varepsilon)_{ba} \phi^a \end{aligned}$$

linear representation of H on ϕ

for $g \notin H$:

nonlinear realization $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$

nontrivial compensator $h(g, \phi)$

G transformation of Goldstone fields

$$L(\phi) \xrightarrow{g} L(\phi') = gL(\phi)h(g, \phi)^{-1}$$

Geometry of G/H

vielbein e

connection ω

compact formulation : differential forms

Lie-algebra valued 1-form

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi)^{-1}dL(\phi) &= L^{-1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi^a} d\phi^a = \omega(\phi) + e(\phi) \\ &= \omega^i H_i + e^a X_a = (\omega_a^i H_i + e_a^b X_b) d\phi^a \end{aligned}$$

Exercise : $L(\phi) \xrightarrow{g} L(\phi')$ implies

$$e(\phi') = h(g, \phi)e(\phi)h(g, \phi)^{-1} \quad \text{vielbein}$$

$$\omega(\phi') = h\omega h^{-1} + h d h^{-1} \quad \text{connection}$$

\Rightarrow basic ingredients for effective Lagrangians

Coupling to non-Goldstone fields

Ass.: fields ψ carry

linear representation of H

$$\psi \xrightarrow{h \in H} \psi' = R_\psi(h)\psi$$

\Rightarrow realization of G

$$\psi \xrightarrow{g \in G} \psi' = h_\psi(g, \phi)\psi$$

$$h_\psi(h, 0) \equiv R_\psi(h)$$

However :

$$\partial_\mu \psi'(x) \neq h_\psi(g, \phi(x)) \partial_\mu \psi(x)$$

\Rightarrow introduce **covariant derivative** $\nabla = d + \omega$

explicitly :
$$\omega = \underbrace{\omega_a^i H_i}_{\omega_a} d\phi^a$$

$$\nabla_\mu \psi = \left(\partial_\mu + \omega_a \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x^\mu} \right) \xrightarrow{g} h_\psi(g, \phi) \nabla_\mu \psi$$

\Rightarrow all ingredients ready for construction of

G -invariant $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\phi, \psi)$